

<b>Data Interoperability Strategy Survey (2006). Respondents are about 20% of all attendees.</b>				
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Percents</b>			
	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>
Organizations would benefit from a comprehensive data management program including courses, methodologies, workshops, etc.	68	30	2	0
Organizations would benefit if databases (not DBMS) had consistent semantics for names for table columns across schemas.	78	20	0	2
Organizations would benefit from automatic Data Element, Attribute and Column naming and abbreviations built with consensus based word lists and phrases (taxonomies).	53	47	0	0
Organizations would benefit from automatic Data Element, Attribute and Column definitions derived from contexts, value domains, etc.	50	42	8	0
Organizations would benefit if databases (not DBMS) had consistent semantics for value domains.	69	29	2	0
Semantics should be inheritable from ISO 11179 Data elements to attributes, and from attributes to columns.	33	67	0	0
There is value in having data model templates that can be used in defining column collections within tables.	53	47	0	9
XML Schemas should be generated from a foundation of well designed and integrated data models.	51	45	4	0
Organizations would benefit from metadata repositories that support metadata integration and non-redundancy across projects, departments, etc. That is, define-once and use many-times.	82	18	0	0
Organizations would benefit from metadata repositories that are part of the everyday work process rather than a post implementation documentation effort.	86	14	2	0
End-users would benefit if they had access to metadata repositories for names, definitions, and the like.	82	16	2	0
Organizations would benefit from metadata repositories if the repositories could capture, report and support update of analysis and design work products in an integrated non-redundant manner.	64	36	0	0
Project quality and work-speed would likely benefit if supported by comprehensive metadata repositories.	71	29	0	0

<b>Data Interoperability Strategy Survey (2006). Respondents are about 20% of all attendees.</b>				
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Percents</b>			
	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>
Organizations would benefit from metadata management strategies supported by both bottom-up reverse engineering, and top-down new database (not DBMS) manufacturing.	57	41	2	0
<b>Average of Percent</b>	64	34	1	1

### **Attendee Organizations**

Allstate Insurance	FedEx Services
American Airlines	Great Lakes Education Loan Services
Bank of Montreal	HBC
Bill Chadwick Consulting	HesselLink & Company
Blue Cross Blue Shield of Texas	IBM Global Services
BOM Financial Group	IESO (Independent Electrical System Operator)
BTE Architects	J.P. Morgan Chase
Canadian Tire	Kohls Department Stores
Cap Gemini Energy	Northern Trust
Caremark	Railroad Retirement Board
CCC Information Systems	RBC Financial Group
Chartwell, Inc.	RR Donnelley
Chevron Texaco	SentientPoint, Inc
CIBC	Sigma Systems
Cingular	Solucient, LLC
Citi Group	Southwest Airlines
City of Toronto	The Economical Insurance Group
CNA Insurance	The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railroad Company
CountryWide	U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Coventry Health Care	United Airlines
CUNA Mutual Group	Wallstreet Consulting
Discover Financial Services	WEA Trust
DOFASCO, Inc	WIS DoT
East Neuk Associates	WPS Insurance
Elkay Manufacturing	
Essential Futures	